



Consensus Statement: Vaginal Birth after Caesarean Section

The New Zealand College of Midwives supports Vaginal birth after caesarean section (VBAC) is a safe option for the majority of women and does not support routine elective repeat caesarean section.

Rationale:

A previous caesarean section is the most common primary indication for repeat caesarean section¹. There are risks and benefits for both elective repeat caesarean section and planned vaginal birth after caesarean section. Repeat caesarean section increases the risk of serious complications in future pregnancies, which include placenta praevia, placenta accreta and hysterectomy²⁻⁸. Caesarean birth has a significant adverse association with the initiation of early breastfeeding⁹. Evidence is accumulating on the increased risks for the baby of long-term health problems associated with caesarean section. A recent comprehensive retrospective cohort study¹⁰ found that babies born by caesarean section had increased risks of asthma, connective tissue disorders, juvenile arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, immune deficiencies and leukemia. Midwives have an important role in discussing options for birth with women and supporting VBAC^{11, 12}.

Practice Notes:

When discussing birth options with women who have had a previous caesarean section midwives should consider the following:¹²⁻¹⁴

- Review the reasons for the primary caesarean birth with the woman and her family, identifying the causes and type of the previous caesarean will assist in determining her birth options.
- Acknowledge the woman's previous caesarean birth and provide options to discuss and work through any issues during pregnancy to support her to move towards a positive birth experience.
- Previous caesarean is an indication for consultation within the Guidelines for Consultation with Obstetric and Related Medical Services¹⁵.
- The number of previous caesarean sections. VBAC is not contraindicated for women who have had more than one previous caesarean section but warrants detailed consideration of reasons for her previous caesareans, plans for assessment during labour and threshold for intervention. This should take place as part of a three way conversation with a specialist.
- Identify the benefits and risks associated with vaginal birth after caesarean and repeat elective caesarean so that the woman is fully informed (see below).
- All discussions and plans for planned VBAC should be clearly documented in the woman's maternity record to ensure all caregivers involved are aware of the discussions and decision making process. The fetal surveillance guideline should be discussed with the woman and a plan for intrapartum fetal monitoring developed with her antenatally¹⁶.

Benefits

- 7 in 10 (70%) of women who plan a VBAC will achieve this^{8, 11}.
- Following VBAC the woman will experience a faster recovery time with fewer limitations on caring for herself or her baby, enabling women to have a shorter hospital stay.
- Breastfeeding is more likely to be initiated in the first hour following a vaginal birth and this is associated with a longer duration of breastfeeding.^{17, 18}

Risks

- 3 in ten women (30%) who plan a VBAC will require an unplanned caesarean section in labour^{8, 11}.
- Caesarean birth increases the risks of blood clots, bleeding and infection; these are increased

further if the caesarean is unplanned when compared to a planned caesarean[19] .

- The risk of uterine scar rupture is rare with rates varying from 0.2-1.5 per 100 dependent on type and number of previous caesarean sections^{5, 13, 19}. Uterine rupture is associated with significant morbidity and mortality.

References:

1. Dodd, J., et al., *Planned elective repeat caesarean section versus planned vaginal birth for women with a previous caesarean birth (Review)*. Cochrane database of Systematic Reviews, 2013. Issue 12. No.: CD004224.
2. Ananth, C., Smulian, J. and Vintzileos, A. (1997). The association of placenta previa with history of caesarean delivery and abortion: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Obstetric & Gynecologists*. 177: p. 1071-1078.
3. Miller, D., Chollet, J. and Goodwin, T. (1997). Clinical risk factors for placenta previa-placenta accreta. *American Journal of Obstetric & Gynecologists*. (177).
4. Makoha, F., et al. (2004). Multiple cesarean section morbidity. *International Journal Gynaecologists & Obstetricians*; 87: p. 227-232.
5. Wu, S., Kocherginsky, M. and Hibbard, J. (2005). Abnormal placentation: twenty-year analysis. *American Journal of Obstetric & Gynecologists*. 192: p.1458-1461.
6. Silver, R., et al., Maternal morbidity associated with multiple repeat cesarean deliveries. (2006). *Obstetric & Gynecology* 107: p. 1225-1232.
7. Marshall, N. and Guise, J. (2011). Impact of multiple cesarean deliveries on maternal morbidity: a systematic review. *American Journal of Obstetric & Gynecologists*. 205: p. 262.e1-8.
8. Guise, J., et al. (2004). Systematic review of the incidence and consequences of uterine rupture in women with previous caesarean section. *BMJ*. 329: p. 19-25.
9. Prior, P., et al. (2012). Breastfeeding after cesarean delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of world literature. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*; 95: p. 1113-11135.
10. Sevelsted, A., et al. (2015). Cesarean section and chronic immune disorders. *Pediatrics*. 135(1): p. e92-e98.
11. Rozen, G., Ugoni, A. and Sheehan, P. (2011). A new perspective on VBAC: A retrospective cohort study. *Journal of women and Birth* 24(3-9).
12. Crowther, C., et al., (2012). Planned Vaginal Birth or Elective Repeat Caesarean Patient Preference Restricted Cohort with Nested Randomised Trial. *PLOS Medicine*, 9(3): p. 1-10.
13. Fitzpatrick, K., et al., (2012). Uterine Rupture by Intended Mode of Delivery in the UK: A National Case-Control Study. *PLOS Medicine*; 9(3): p. 1-12.
14. Dexter, S., Windsor, C., and Watkinson, S. (2013). Meeting the Challenge of maternal choice in mode of delivery with vaginal birth after caesarean section: a medical, legal and ethical commentary. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 121(2): p. 133-140.
15. Ministry of Health, Guidelines for Consultation with Obstetric and Related Specialist Medical Services (Referral Guidelines) 2012, Wellington: Ministry of Health.
16. The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, Intrapartum Fetal Surveillance: Clinical Guideline (Third Edition), 2014, The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists:
<https://www.ranzcog.edu.au/intrapartum-fetal-surveillance-clinical-guidelines.html>

17. DiFrisco, E., et al., (2011). Factors associated with exclusive breastfeeding 2 to 4 weeks following discharge from a large urban academic medical centre striving for Baby Friendly designation. *Journal of Perinatal Education*; 20(1): p. 28-35.
18. Vieira, T., et al. (2010). Determinants of breastfeeding initiation within the first hour of life in a Brazilian population: corss-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*; 10, DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-10-760.
19. New Zealand Guidelines Group. (2004). Care of Women with Breech Presentation or Previous Caesarean Birth. Available from:
http://www.health.govt.nz/system/files/documents/publications/caesarean_summary.pdf.

Bibliography:

Howarth, A. Swain, N. Treharne, G. (2013). The safety-net: What influences New Zealand first-time mothers' perceptions of safety for self and unborn child? *New Zealand College of Midwives Journal*, Vol 48: 24-28.

Ratification:

This statement was ratified at the NZCOM AGM on 30/07/15

The purpose of New Zealand College of Midwives Consensus Statements is to provide women, midwives and the maternity services with the profession's position on any given situation. The guidelines are designed to educate and support best practice. All position statements are regularly reviewed and updated in line with evidence-based practice.